

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/864,857		SUNDGREEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Raymond J Henley III		1614	

**All Participants:**

(1) Raymond J Henley III.

(2) D. Patton.

**Date of Interview:** 6 July 2004

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
None

Claims discussed:  
None

Prior art documents discussed:  
None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
The Examiner requested a copy of the reference cited at page 4, lines 4-10 of the specification, i.e., "Pittner". Applicants' representative informed the Examiner that it is an unpublished document.

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**Status of Application:** Pending


(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** AM

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)